TENNECO AUTOMOTIVE RECONCILIATION OF $\operatorname{GAAP}^{(a)}$ NET INCOME TO EBITDA $\operatorname{\underline{Unaudited}}$

		Q3 2004		
	North		Rest of	
	America	Europe	World	Total
Net income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6
Minority interest	-	-	-	1
Income tax expense (benefit)	-	-	-	2
Interest expense (net of interest capitalized)	-	-	-	35
EBIT, Income before interest expense, income taxes and minority interest (GAAP measure)	31	6	7	44
Depreciation and amortization of other intangibles	22	16	4	42
Total EBITDA ^(b)	\$ 53	\$ 22	\$ 11	\$ 86
		Q3 2003		
	North		Rest of	
	America	Europe	World	Total
Net income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4
Minority interest	-	-	-	2
Income tax expense (benefit)	-	-	-	(2)
Interest expense (net of interest capitalized)	-	-	-	34
EBIT, Income before interest expense, income taxes and minority interest (GAAP measure)	32	(3)	9	38
Depreciation and amortization	22	15_	3	40
Total EBITDA	_\$ 54	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 78

^(a) Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

⁽b) EBITDA represents income before interest expense, income taxes, minority interest and depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is not a calculation based upon generally accepted accounting principles. The amounts included in the EBITDA calculation, however, are derived from amounts included in the historical statements of income data. In addition, EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or operating income as an indicator of the company's operating performance, or as an alternative to operating cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Tenneco Automotive has presented EBITDA because it regularly reviews EBITDA as a measure of the company's performance. In addition, Tenneco Automotive believes its debt holders utilize and analyze our EBITDA for similar purposes. Tenneco Automotive also believes EBITDA assists investors in comparing a company's performance on a consistent basis without regard to depreciation and amortization, which can vary significantly depending upon many factors. However, the EBITDA measure presented may not always be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies due to differences in the components of the calculation.